☐First Trust

Monday Morning **OUTLOOK**

Brian S. Wesbury – Chief Economist Robert Stein, CFA – Dep. Chief Economist Strider Elass – Senior Economist Andrew Opdyke, CFA – Senior Economist Bryce Gill – Economist Nate Gerze – Economic Analyst

630-517-7756 • www.ftportfolios.com

April 1, 2024

The Fed Audit

Several years ago some politicians started demanding that the Federal Reserve get audited. We think the idea has some merits but also some drawbacks, as well.

One problem with the Fed is that it doesn't have a hard limit on its own spending. For example, let's say the Fed wanted to hire a bunch of extra staff to write papers on climate change, income inequality, gun control, or other "political hot button" issues of the day that don't really have a direct relationship with monetary policy or the Fed's mission. Our understanding is that there's nothing to stop the Fed from doing so, as long as it claims some relationship to monetary policy, no matter how tenuous.

And even if the appointed leaders at the Federal Reserve Board object, there are still twelve regional reserve banks around the country that could do so, and their leaders are not appointed by the president or confirmed by the Senate. In fact, the Chicago Federal Reserve Bank already has staff dedicated to researching topics that impact the "greater good" and "community development."

Depending on the party in power, auditing the Fed could lead Congress to mandate more or less of these endeavors, and at the same time put more political pressure on the Fed to tilt monetary policy in a way that politicians see as favorable toward themselves, which would mean less Fed independence. History shows clearly that less central bank independence correlates closely with higher inflation and less currency stability.

What we would suggest is a law that limits the Fed to activities that directly, not indirectly, impact monetary policy. Those areas can be measured with an accounting audit by an outside firm, which the Fed already does. Last week the Fed

released its audited financial statements for 2023 and they were.... interesting.

Most prominently, the Fed lost \$114 billion last year. This is the first time the Fed has ever run an annual loss and the loss is a direct consequence of the Financial Panic of 2008 when the Fed started paying banks to hold reserves.

Prior to that change, the Fed did not pay banks to hold reserves, meanwhile earning interest on the securities in its portfolio (mostly Treasury bills). But after the change, when the Fed was holding rates close to zero, it still ran surpluses. When the Fed held rates low, it contributed an average of more than \$75 billion annually to government revenue.

But holding rates too low creates distortions in financial markets and rates had to go higher. In order to "normalize" rates, the Fed now pays banks 5.4% on their excess reserves. The result is that the Fed paid private banks \$281 billion in 2023.

But the Fed earns less than that on its bond portfolio. To repeat, it lost \$114 billion in 2023 and has a total accumulated deficit of \$133.3 billion since 2022. The Fed calls these accumulated losses a "deferred asset" because it expects to return to profitability in the future.

These kinds of losses should invite political oversight. Does the Fed just borrow more from the Treasury (the taxpayer) to meet payroll? If so, there is already a reason to doubt its independence from the political side of government. Rather than audit the Fed, which is already done, laws which require more transparency and a more focused mission, would be productive. The Fed has become too political. That should change.

Date/Time (CST)	U.S. Economic Data	Consensus	First Trust	Actual	Previous
4-1 / 9:00 am	ISM Index – Mar	48.3	48.1	50.3	47.8
9:00 am	Construction Spending – Feb	+0.7%	+0.4%	-0.3%	-0.2%
4-2 / 9:00 am	Factory Orders – Feb	+1.0%	+0.9%		-3.6%
afternoon	Domestic Car/Truck Sales – Mar	12.3 Mil	12.5 Mil		12.3 Mil
afternoon	Total Car/Truck Sales – Mar	15.9 Mil	16.1 Mil		
4-3 / 9:00 am	ISM Non Mfg Index – Mar	52.8	52.9		52.6
4-4 / 7:30 am	Initial Claims – Mar 30	214K	211K		210K
7:30 am	Int'l Trade Balance – Feb	-\$67.0 Bil	-\$69.1 Bil		-\$67.4
4-5 / 7:30 am	Non-Farm Payrolls – Mar	205K	195K		275K
7:30 am	Private Payrolls – Mar	165K	155K		223K
7:30 am	Manufacturing Payrolls – Mar	10K	5K		-4K
7:30 am	Unemployment Rate – Mar	3.8%	3.9%		3.9%
7:30 am	Average Hourly Earnings – Mar	+0.3%	+0.3%		+0.1%
7:30 am	Average Weekly Hours – Mar	34.3	34.3		34.3
2:00 pm	Consumer Credit – Feb	\$16.4 Bil	\$9.3 Bil		\$19.5